

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/086,076	02/28/2002	Tetsuya Ikemoto	215851	3322		
23460	7590 05/30/2002					
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780			EXAMI	EXAMINER		
			SHIPPEN, MICHAEL L			
CHICAGO, IL	L 60001-6780		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1621			
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

هند آه		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/086,076		IKEMOTO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		MICHAEL L. SH	· · · · - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-f	inal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)[Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 17</u> is/are pending in the appl 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		ration					
5\□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	wii iioiii considei	ation.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7)								
′=	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 17</u> are subject to restriction a	nd/or election re	auirement.					
•	ion Papers		-1					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	oted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Exar	miner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: —								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>09/654,768</u> .							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)		v (PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

Application/Control Number: 10/086,076

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Inventorship

In view of the papers filed April 15, 2002, the inventorship in this nonprovisional application has been changed by the deletion of Nobuhiro Arai.

The application will be forwarded to the Office of Initial Patent Examination (OIPE) for issuance of a corrected filing receipt, and correction of the file jacket and PTO PALM data to reflect the inventorship as corrected.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 1, drawn to a compound, classified in class 568, subclass 807.
- II. Claim 2, drawn to processes, classified in class 568, subclass 807.
- III. Claim 3, drawn to processes, classified in class 562, subclass 409+.
- IV. Claims 4 and 5, drawn to processes, classified in class 562, subclass 409+.
- V. Claim 6, drawn to processes, classified in class 562, subclass 409+.
- VI. Claim 7, drawn to processes, classified in class 562, subclass 460.
- VII. Claim 17, drawn to processes, classified in class 549, subclass 469.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2)

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/086,076

Art Unit: 1621

that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the Invention I compounds could be prepared by other processes. For example, one could subject the corresponding ketone to reduction to afford the claimed hydroxy compound.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the Invention I would be expected to have other uses. For example, the compound would be an intermediate to insecticidal pryrethriods by esterification with a pyrethroid acid.

Inventions I and II are unrelated to Inventions IV-VII. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the Inventions IV-VII do not use the Invention I or II compounds or product.

Inventions III, IV, V and VI are related only in that they afford the same product. However, each requires distinct reactants, reagents and reaction conditions. Each is carried out independently of the others.

Inventions III, IV, V and VI are unrelated to Invention VII. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP §

Application/Control Number: 10/086,076

Art Unit: 1621

806.04, MPEP \S 808.01). In the instant case Invention VIII does not use the Invention

III, IV, V or VI product.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Although some of the Groups were classified by the Examiner in similar areas of the U. S. classification hierarchy for purposes of restriction and assignment, a prior art search of the two groups includes different areas of literature search. Moreover, each require distinct

considerations as to patentability. In the present case, and the necessary separate

examining considerations would place an undue burden on the Examiner examining all

the groups.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/086,076

Art Unit: 1621

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael L. Shippen whose telephone number is (703) 308-4635. The Examiner's normal tour of duty is 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235. The official group FAX machine number is (703) 308-4556.

MShippen May 29, 2002

> MICHAEL L. SHIPPEN PRIMARY EXAMINER **ART UNIT 1621**